
From: Sweeney, Stephen
To: Waye, Don
Sent: 7/30/2014 4:25:57 PM
Subject: RE: Federal Agencies' Review of Oregon CZARA

OK. Good catches, but I don't think we need to be particularly worried that Nina will seize on those. We should make sure that these two points get fixed in the next report out.

From: Waye, Don
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 4:17 PM
To: Sweeney, Stephen
Subject: FW: Federal Agencies' Review of Oregon CZARA

FYI

From: Waye, Don
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 4:12 PM
To: Psyk, Christine
Cc: Carlin, Jayne; Hall, Lynda; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal; allison.castellan@noaa.gov
Subject: RE: Federal Agencies' Review of Oregon CZARA

Christine,

To help you make a fully informed decision, it is missing my edits—sent to Jayne on 7/23, but inadvertently left out of the “final” version she sent you. See attached.

The significant of those edits is:

- 1) The New Dvpt MM and the OSDS MM should not be conflated (as they appear to be in this update; and
- 2) The legal and management meetings should be “monthly or more frequently as needed”—not simply “monthly”, so Nina isn't left with the impression that we're deferring important internal consultations

Thanks.

Don Waye
U.S. EPA - Nonpoint Source Control Branch
Phone: 202-566-1170

From: Psyk, Christine
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 4:01 PM
To: Carlin, Jayne
Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don; Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan; Sweeney, Stephen; Jeff Dillen; Byrne, Jennifer
Subject: RE: Federal Agencies' Review of Oregon CZARA

Jayne, I'm going to stick with what I sent her.

Christine Psyk
Associate Director, R10 OWW
206-553-1906
psyk.christine@epa.gov

From: Carlin, Jayne

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 10:49 AM

To: Psyk, Christine

Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Wayne, Don; Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan; Sweeney, Stephen; Jeff Dillen; Byrne, Jennifer

Subject: RE: Federal Agencies' Review of Oregon CZARA

Hi Christine,

This is very embarrassing but I emailed you the wrong version of the status report. See attached for the correct version—nothing substantially different from the one you sent to Nina—just a few edits. It is up to you whether you think we should send out the correct version or let it go.

Again so sorry.

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

From: Psyk, Christine

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 9:37 AM

To: nbell@advocates-nwea.org

Cc: Hall, Lynda; joelle.gore@noaa.gov

Subject: Federal Agencies' Review of Oregon CZARA

Dear Nina:

In accordance with our June 4, 2014, letter, we are providing the following update on our review of comments on our earlier proposed action on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program, as well as the development of responses to those comments. Per our June 4th letter, we will provide further updates at the end of September and at the end of November.

To date, NOAA and EPA have completed the following:

- Posted all comments received, including the state's response, on NOAA's website for public viewing at http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nonpoint/pro_approve.html#Oregon. (January-April)
- Sent letter dated June 30, 2014, to the agency heads at the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and Department of Land Conservation and Development that NOAA and EPA intend to take final action by January 30, 2015, on the federal agencies' proposed determination that Oregon has failed to submit an approvable coastal nonpoint program.
- Established a process and schedule for reviewing and carefully considering all comments and developing responses to them. (June)
- Categorized all comments received into seven major categories and numerous subcategories, to aid in review. (June)
- Set up five topic teams to lead review and discussion for various aspects of Oregon's program and prepare written responses to the comments and update the proposed decision rationales, as

needed (i.e., new development/onsite sewage disposal systems, forestry-riparian areas, forestry-landslides, forestry-roads, forestry-pesticides, agriculture). (June)

· Held regular meetings for various groups (June to present):

- Topic team (weekly): to discuss public comments, state submission, and potential responses to comments and changes needed to proposed decision rationales.
- Technical team (weekly): to share progress of topic teams, get feedback from full technical team, t-up specific legal questions or decision points for legal and management teams, respectively, and ensure coordination among topic teams.
- Managerial team (monthly): to provide programmatic guidance to technical team and weigh in on critical decision points.
- Legal team (monthly): to provide legal guidance to technical and managerial teams.

· Held initial discussions on the following aspects of Oregon's program in consideration of the public comments received and the state's response (new development, OSDS, forestry-landslides, forestry-pesticides, agriculture, forestry-riparian, forestry-roads). (May-July)

· Began drafting responses to comments and/or updating proposed decision rationales related to general program issues, new development, OSDS and forestry-landslides. (June-July)

Feel free to contact me via email or at 206-553-1906 or Joelle Gore at joelle.gore@noaa.gov or 301-563-1177 with any questions.

Christine Psyk

Associate Director, R10 OWW

206-553-1906

psyk.christine@epa.gov